

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/941,979	08/29/2001	Akihiro Tatsuta	MTS-3272US	7526
7590 01/13/2005			EXAMINER	
RATNER AND PRESTIA			SMITH, CREIGHTON H	
Suite 301, One Westlakes,	Berwyn		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P.O. Box 980			2645	
Valley Forge, PA 19482-0980			DATE MAILED: 01/13/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/941,979	TATSUTA ET AL.	TATSUTA ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
	<u>. </u>	Creighton h Smith	2645				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence ac	idress			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by star reply received by the Office later than three months after the may ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thiod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC tute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timel NTHS from the mailing date of this c ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status			-				
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·					
2a) <u></u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	his action is non-final.		•			
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)⊠ 6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without claim(s) 1-3,6,8 and 11 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 4,5,7,9,10 and 12-15 is/are rejected claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.					
10)[10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the con The oath or declaration is objected to by the	•	* ' '	• •			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmen		 □					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date				
3) 🛛 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ er No(s)/Mail Date		Informal Patent Application (PTG	O-152)			

Art Unit: 2645

Claims 4, 5, 7, 9, 10, 12-15, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 4, there is no antecedent basis for "the order", plus the phrase in which it used makes no grammatically correct sense. In claims 5, 9, applicant's phrase, " a quadrature modulation system having a multi value number makes no sense. What does applicant mean? There is no antecedent basis in claims 7, 12 for "the corresponding frequency", "said frequency," "the substantial distance." There is no antecedent basis in claim 9 for "the order" from said transmission means; the phrase 'of which the order is the lowest" is vague and indefinite. What does applicant mean? Also the phrase "wherein the lower the order of the reception means is the higher the multi value number is vague and indefinite. What does applicant mean? In claims 10, 12, there is no antecedent basis for "the corresponding frequencies". In claim 13, there is no antecedent basis for "the allocated signals". In claims 14 & 15, applicant's phrase "the functions of the entirety" and "the means of the entirety" are vague and indefinite.

Claims 1-3, 6, 8, 11, are allowed.

The prior art fails to show a signal distribution system having a transmission means of selecting modulation systems <u>based on a predetermined criteria</u> for the plurality of signals; nor does the prior art teach that the frequencies of the transmitting and receiving means have been predetermined based on the distance between the two elements.

Art Unit: 2645

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Sano et al, Publication #2002/0181421.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Creighton h

Smith at telephone number 308-2488.

07 Jan. '05

Creighton h Smith Primary Examiner Art Unit 2645